



## Quarterly Newsletter - Q2 2016

---

### In this Newsletter

**Hedge Fund Talent Stepping Away** Why are people leaving the HF industry and where are they going

**Junior Investment Bankers Leaving Ever Earlier** Investment banks trying to stem the tide of analysts leaving for the buy-side

**Are your Interviews as Rigorous as your Investment Process?** New methods to help better screen candidates

**Turning the Screws on Employees** Firms using employment terms to gain the leverage over staff

**View on the HF Industry from the GAIMops Conference, Cayman 3/16**

This is the first of what we hope will be a series of quarterly newsletters. We at Odyssey spend our time filling investment roles at fundamentally driven alternative investment firms, and that process leads us to meet people in the industry every day. We often get asked to share what we're seeing in the terms of trends, the 'pulse' of the market, who's moving where, and so on. This is our attempt to be a little more organized and systematic. We hope it delivers.

We would welcome a chance to discuss any of these topics live – ping us back at: [newsletter@ospsearch.com](mailto:newsletter@ospsearch.com)

Enjoy.

In order for this newsletter to be as informative and useful to you as possible, we'd love your feedback and suggestions for topics. If there are industry questions you're looking for answers on, please let us know and we'd be happy to explore and discuss in future distributions!

**Suggestions Welcome**

---

## Hedge Fund Talent Stepping Away

There's a lot of gloomy talk around the hedge fund industry these days. Weak returns, pressure on fees, pensions rethinking their hedge fund allocations. This state of affairs has not been lost on the hedge fund investment professionals who are increasingly rethinking their commitment to the industry. Many previous "masters of the hedge fund universe" are now second-guessing their career choices. One experienced HF PM wrote to us this week "I'm looking for new opportunity to further my skill set as a Portfolio Manager; it does not have to be Hedge Fund structure". So where are people looking to go?

The private side is appealing to some with its locked up capital and its long-term investment horizon, as are family offices with their flexible investment mandates. The most common requests though are for the mutual fund world. Yes, there are hesitations about 'sleepiness', perceived meritocracy, and the more muted bonus potential, but for many this is outweighed by the combination of perceived durability, long-term career stability, and high base salaries uncorrelated to performance. Sure, they'll have to give up the ability to short but of late very few people have been able to impress with their alpha short returns.

Compensation is presumably the biggest factor at work here making people rethink their industry choices. Comp at year-end 2015 was considerably down from 2014. For many front-office folk at hedge funds, bonuses were down 30-50% and in 2016 the outlook already looks bleak given Q1 performance, particularly if the fund

issignificantly under its high water mark. There are other factors at play as well. There's a growing frustration from longer-term investors that their funds are reacting to current market conditions by making shorter term, quicker trades. People have been burned by their start-up funds not being able to get to scale, and by founders of established funds deciding to convert to family offices. There's also the overriding intellectual frustration felt by these smart over-achievers who just haven't figured out how to generate returns with this market volatility. Their hope is they will do better in a different setting.

These factors aside, the conversation about quitting hedge funds still normally turns back to money. As a senior long/short equity analyst recently put it to us, with a characteristic mathematical rationale, "Would I take a seat at a long-only where I can make \$500k a year for 20 years, versus making \$2m in a hedge fund one year, getting blown out the next, followed by a who-knows-how-long period on the beach? I'd take that trade."

## Notable Moves - Private Credit

Name	Joining	Leaving
Bill Sacher/Shahab Rahsid	Adams Street Partners	OakTree Capital Management
Nicolas Valls	Golub Capital	BDCA Adviser
Gina Provenzale	HIG Whitehorse	GE Antares Capital
Jim Hunt	Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors	THL Credit
Mark Bernier	HIG Whitehorse	GE Capital
Matt Tier	Owl Rock Capital Partners	Fifth Street Finance
Keith Carter	Varagon Capital Partners	TPG Special Situations
Kunal Gulati	TPG Special Situations	Solar Capital

*Note: We came across these moves in the course of our business; they do not include Odyssey placements, respecting the confidentiality of our candidates and clients.*

## Junior Investment Bankers Leaving Ever Earlier

The WSJ published an [article this weekend](#) on efforts by the big banks to stem departures of their junior investment bankers. These efforts included encouraging the analysts to consider long-term careers at the bank, allowing for faster advancement, giving more interesting work and greater client exposure, and limiting working hours. The backdrop it mentioned is that juniors are leaving increasingly early: the average tenure of banking analyst and associates has reduced from 30 months in 1995 to 26 months in 2005 to 17 months in 2015.

Based on what we've seen so far in 2016, we expect this trend to continue - we don't perceive any increased interest from junior investment bankers in staying on longer. What the article does not describe is that there are 'pull factors' as much as 'push factors' at work here. Buy-side firms are increasingly competing with each other for recent college grads with investment banking/corporate finance skills. Not only are private equity and hedge fund firms trying to hire these smart juniors, but increasingly venture capital firms, secondary funds, corporates, and allocators are fishing in this talent pond too. The compensation for banking analysts may have been increased, with first-year base salaries typically having been lifted from \$65-75k to \$80-85k, but this is not a deterrent to investment management firms who are used to paying base salaries at a premium to this. This level of competition has led to firms starting their recruiting processes earlier and earlier in the year. A few years back, buy-side firms started interviewing in the summer when the bankers would have had a year under their belts at that point. In 2016 firms started doing 'coffee chats' with prospective candidates as early as Nov/Dec, and formally interviewing them from February. The vast majority of the PE/VC offers are delayed (i.e. offers given out in Q1 2016 for Q3 2017 starts), but some other firms want more immediate starts and so in these cases the analysts are leaving well before their two years is up, and in some cases, before they've reached their one year anniversary.

Another tactic some banks are turning to is to use vinegar rather than honey to try and keep their junior analysts from leaving early. Rothschild for example, now requires their 2nd year bankers to pay back their 1st year bonuses and their original sign-on bonuses if the analyst leaves before the 2 year program is completed. But it's our sense that policies like this might just detract from their ability to recruit the best kids coming out over undergrad rather than keep talented people with the firm for at least two years.

# Are your Interviews as Rigorous as your Investment Process?

While you may have heard of the Wonderlic test as it relates to the NFL and Andrew Luck, you may not have realized that many funds are now using that same test to screen their applicants. Wonderlic, Watson-Glazer, Myers-Briggs, Hogan and Caliper are just a few of the assessment tests being used by some of our clients to screen talent. And these are on the more standard end of the scale - more experimental methods include psychological screens and handwriting evaluations.

These assessments are typically deployed as one of the rounds during the interview process for potential new hires. The tests tend to look at both IQ and personality and are used to make sure that the candidates are not only a good fit intellectually, but also culturally with the rest of the existing team. One PM just told us that he wouldn't hire anyone without being tested, though they use the results just to help focus the conversations with references. While use of these tests are still far from the norm, we've noticed more and more firms have been implementing them in recent years as people want to leverage technology to improve performance in all parts of their business. But that doesn't mean the majority of candidates are happy with this new trend.

While candidates may appreciate the theoretical value behind these tests, many tell us they would prefer to meet with some people from the firm they are applying to before sitting at a computer for 2+ hours trying to figure out how to answer questions such as, "Do I usually stop at yellow lights or race through them?" We've also heard some dissatisfaction from those being told they aren't a good fit without much additional color as to why. However, it is evident that these tests are playing a bigger role in helping with the perennial challenge of finding a great match between employer and employee so it's a space to continue watching.

***"I failed 3 times in college. I applied 30 times to get a job but I have always been rejected. When KFC came to China for the first time, we were 24 to apply and I was the only one to be dismissed..." Jack Ma, Founder of Alibaba, wealthiest man in China (Forbes, 2015)***

## Notable Moves - Private Equity / Venture Capital

Name	Joining	Leaving
Sean Murphy	Harvest Partners	Angelo Gordon
Marc Lipschultz	Owl Rock Capital Partners	KKR
Jack Daly	TPG Capital	Goldman Sachs
Chris Colpitts	CVC Capital Partners	Deutsche Bank
Pete Casella	Point 72	JP Morgan
Erik Herrmann	Levine Leichtman Capital Partners	Capital Spring
Duncan Gills	Battery Ventures	True Wind Capital

*Note: We came across these moves in the course of our business; they do not include Odyssey placements, respecting the confidentiality of our candidates and clients.*

## Turning the Screws on Employees

The underlying purpose of non-compete, garden leave and deferred compensation has always been to try and ensure some stability in an industry that has more jumpiness than a community of grasshoppers. Employees have typically been able to work at a fund for one year, collect their bonus, and then move on to something bigger



and better if the opportunity presents itself. The question right now is how are these terms changing in this market when funds again have the power in the relationship?

What we've seen is that a small minority of firms have been using this weak hiring environment as an opportunity to exert their power. Making existing employees sign a new, more restrictive agreement is a tough task unless it's paired with a sweetener for existing employees, like equity. But it's easier to start making changes with new employees. In many older employment agreements, non-compete clauses were not even included, or were just stipulated as your standard thirty or ninety days. We are now seeing more six to nine month non-compete clauses. On the deferred side, what's become standard is to hold back between a quarter and up to half of bonuses over a certain bonus threshold, often between \$250,000 and \$500,000, to vest 1/3rd a year

over three years. Again, we're hearing about more restrictive deferred terms – multi-year cliff-vesting, rather than gradual straight-line vesting, and even tying deferred comp payouts to the firm performance or ability to raise new capital.

How will this shake out? Firms and employees both naturally want to protect their interests as best they can. It makes sense for firms to try to be aggressive in lean years, especially if they are paying bonuses out of them as a management fee in an effort to retain talent. However, once the market swings back towards a candidate's market, the concern is this might impede these same firms' ability to again attract top talent.

## View on the HF Industry from the GAIMops Conference, Cayman 3/16

We were fortunate enough to just attend one of the leading hedge fund conferences, the GAIMops conference in Cayman. Here's our take on the three days, boiled down to three bullets:



- HF industry assets are still looking stable: while major inflows have been limited in 1Q2016, there's confidence that assets won't drop drastically because a) there is more individual money coming into space, and b) other asset classes are still yielding very low returns; however HF fee pressure is becoming more real, especially on the management fee side; "1.5 and 15" might become the new "2 and 20" (though currently most fee concessions are being granted alongside longer lock-up terms)
- Allocators are most comfortable with bigger, more institutional hedge funds, even those with lower returns; while they do diligence under the "trust but verify" banner, stronger allocator-manager partnership models are developing, with real two-way dialogue becoming more common
- Cybersecurity, regulation, keeping hold of top talent in a zero/low bonus environment were some of the key topics that were keeping HF managers up at night (alongside the crashing of Caribbean waves...)

## Notable Moves - Hedge Fund

Name	Joining	Leaving
Ben Abrams	EastBay Capital	Alkeon Capital Management
Pito Chickering	Davidson Kempner Capital Management	Kingdon Capital
Jeff Eisenstein	Alyeska Investment Group	Three Corner Global Investors
Jesse Freedman	Warlander Asset Management	Strategic Value Partners
Christian Schmitz	Carlyle (Special Situations Group)	Och Ziff
Nathan Morin	Tiger Legatus Capital Management	GMT Capital Corporation
Chris Procaccini	Hutchin Hill Capital	Highbridge Capital Management
Rishav Puri	Owl Creek Asset Management	GoldenTree Asset Management
Danil Subkhankulov	Select Equity Group	Cerberus Capital Management

*Note: We came across these moves in the course of our business; they do not include Odyssey placements, respecting the confidentiality of our candidates and clients.*

**Feedback Welcome**

We welcome your feedback! You can also unsubscribe from future Newsletters – but we'd prefer you send us suggestions for other topics we should cover or any other comments! [newsletter@ospsearch.com](mailto:newsletter@ospsearch.com)

## New at Odyssey

We are pleased to announce the arrival of Jeff Rosenblum. Jeff joined Odyssey Search Partners at the beginning of 2016. He looks to place both junior and senior level investment professionals within fundamentally driven alternative investment firms. Prior to joining Odyssey, Jeff was an analyst at Bank of America. He received a Bachelor of Science in Finance and Information Systems from the University of Maryland. Jeff grew up in New York and is currently looking for a girlfriend. He recently went out on a date with a girl his Mom set him up with, after receiving her first round feedback, he has come to the conclusion there won't be a second round. She didn't share specifics but blamed a general lack of 'cultural fit'.

## What's Hot in Front-Office Hiring?

We love to have conversations with impressive people. Right now, areas of particular interest include the following. If you know strong people with these backgrounds that might want to hear about new opportunities, please send them our way!

- Top ranked investment banking analysts
- Junior buy-side analysts with deep value experience
- Private credit senior originators
- Distressed debt analysts
- Senior TMT and Healthcare analysts
- Top-notch candidates with ties to Dallas, Minneapolis, Boston
- Fundamental PMs with track records of success

**Want to find out more about Odyssey Search Partners?**

**Odyssey Search Partners**

[Homepage](#)

[About](#)

[Contact](#)



Odyssey Search Partners | 747 3rd Avenue 15th Floor, New York, NY 10017

[Unsubscribe](#)

[Update Profile](#) | [About our service provider](#)

Sent by bb@ospsearch.com in collaboration with

**Constant Contact** 

Try it free today

